	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/681,300	YEE, MONG SUAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vineeta S. Panwalkar	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Vineeta S. Panwalkar</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Carl Schlier (Reg. No. 34426).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 September 2007	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		, i
Claims discussed: 27, 29 and 30		
Prior art documents discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.	·	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	•
	· .	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed:
As per applicant's representative, Mr. Schlier, applicant intended to cancel claim 27and did not intend to cancel claim 29. Mr. Schlier agreed to an examiner's amendment to do the same in order to place the application in condition for allowance.